## IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## AGENDA ITEMS/COMMISSION ORDERS

Tuesday, November 8, 2011
Materials Conference Room
Ames DOT Complex

| ITEM NUMBER | TITLE | SUBMITTED BY | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:00 p.m. | Report from Governor's Transportation 2020 Citizen Advisory Commission | Nancy Richardson/ Allan Thoms |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D-2012-18 } \\ & \text { 1:30 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Approve Minutes of the October 11, 2011, Commission Meeting in Council Bluffs | Connie Page | 1 |
|  | Commission Comments |  |  |
|  | Staff Comments |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D-2012-19 } \\ & \text { 1:35 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Administrative Rules 761 IAC Chapters 112 and 115 | Paul Trombino III | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-20 } \\ & \text { 1:40 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface Repair Program (revised 2012 and proposed 2013) | Tamara Nicholson | 10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-21 } \\ & \text { 1:45 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program (2013) | Tamara Nicholson | 15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-22 } \\ & \text { 1:50 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program Recommendations | Tamara Nicholson | 17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-23 } \\ & \text { 1:55 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Webster County (Delegation) | Craig Markley | 18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-24 } \\ & \text { 2:00 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Le Mars (Delegation) | Craig Markley | 21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-25 } \\ & \text { 2:05 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Sheldon (Delegation) | Craig Markley | 24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-26 } \\ & \text { 2:10 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Parkersburg (Delegation) | Craig Markley | 27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-27 } \\ & \text { 2:15 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Waterloo (Delegation) | Craig Markley | 30 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPM-2012-28 } \\ & \text { 2:20 p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | *Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Dubuque (Delegation) | Craig Markley | 33 |
| 2:25 p.m. | Meeting adjourned |  |  |

On Tuesday, November 8, the Commission and staff will meet informally at 9 a.m. in the Materials conference room at the DOT complex in Ames. Transportation-related matters will be discussed but no action will be taken.


Title Approve Minutes of the October 11, 2011, Commission Meeting in Council Bluffs
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission approve the minutes of the October 11, 2011, Commission meeting in Council Bluffs.


## Commission Comments

## 1. Kudos to the Department for I-680 Fast Track Project

Commission Chair Reasner said the Commission very much appreciates the fast track and speedy recovery that took place in western lowa. Department staff and Director Trombino III should be applauded for turning that project around in such an amazing time period.

Commissioner Yanney said also opened that day was the bridge from lowa into Nebraska at Decatur. Not having that bridge open was a tremendous economic impact on those folks. In addition, lowa 2 was opened. Commission Chair Reasner said along with Iowa 175; it was an amazing effort.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Division/Bureau/Office Director Order No. D-2012-19
Submitted by Paul Trombino III Phone No. 515-239-1111 Meeting Date $\quad$ November 8, 2011
Title Administrative Rules 761 IAC Chapters 112 and 115
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
The department is updating 761 IAC 112 (Primary Road Access Control) and 761 IAC 115 (Utility Accommodation) to streamline and bring into compliance the administrative rules for the permitting and waiver processes.

With these administrative rule updates, Iowans requesting an access or utility permit will have a clearly defined process to obtain a permit and request a waiver, if necessary. These changes will bring 761 IAC 112.1 and 761 IAC 115.1 into full compliance with the requirements defined in 761 IAC 11 (Waiver of Rules). The amendments will promote uniformity in permitted entrance locations and reduce utility infrastructure development costs.

The public comment period ended Oct. 25, 2011, and no comments or requests for oral presentations were received.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission approve the attached rule amendments.


ITEM 1. Amend rule 761—112.1(306A) as follows:

## 761-112.1(306A) General information.

112.1(1) Statement of policy. The efficiency and safety of a highway depend to a large extent upon the amount and character of interruptions to the movement of traffic. The primary cause of these interruptions is vehicular movements to and from businesses, residences, and other developments along the highway. All primary highways are controlled access facilities. Regulation and overall control of highway access are necessary to provide efficient and safe highway operation and to utilize the full potential of the highway investment.

Accordingly, the department hereby establishes rules for control of access to primary roads highways.
112.1(2) Information and forms. Information and forms regarding this chapter may be obtained from any of the department's six district offices; the Office of Traffic and Safety, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, Iowa 50010; or the Internet Web site: http://www.iowadot.gov/traffic/index.htm.
112.1(2) $\underline{112.1(3)}$ Considerations. If the department determines that the literal application of these rules to a specific situation will create or result in an unsafe situation or an unreasonable design, the department shall use sound engineering practices to determine the appropriate design for the specific situation. The appropriate district office shall include justification for the design in the permit or the highway project file, as applicable. This The appropriate design shall address:

1. $\underline{\text { a. }}$ Safety to the traveling public.
Z. b. Perpetuation of the traffic-carrying capacity of the highway.
2. The impact upon the economy of the state.
3. $\underline{c}$. Protection of the rights of the traveling public and of property owners, including the rights of abutting property owners.
d. Topography and geometric limitations and constraints affecting typical engineering standards.

Justification for the design must be included in the permit or the highway project file, as applicable.

## 112.1(4) Permit approval process.

a. A district representative may, in response to an application for an access connection to the primary highway system, grant approval for an access permit. The process for inquiring about and applying for an access connection to the primary highway system is through one of the department's six district offices. All applications for access permits must be applied for in the particular district where the entrance is proposed. A district representative will do one of the following: approve the application for an access permit, approve the application for an access permit with conditions, or deny the application for an access permit. The district representative may use the considerations set forth in subrule 112.1(3) in making the decision. The district representative shall notify the applicant of the determination in writing.
b. Upon receipt of a denial letter or if the permit was approved with conditions, the applicant may choose to pursue a waiver from the director of transportation, pursuant to subrule 112.1(5).
112.1(3) 112.1(5) Waivers. The director of transportation may, in response to a written request or petition, waive provisions of this chapter. 761 Chapter 11 applies, with the following
exceptions: in accordance with 761 -Chapter 11 . The written petition must contain the information as required in 761 -subrule 11.5(2) and shall be submitted to the Office of Policy and Legislative Services, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, Iowa 50010.
a. If a person is applying for an access permit under this chapter, the person shall submit a related waiver request as an addendum to the application, in lieu of petition for waiver. The request must include:
(1) A description of and citation to the specific rule from which a waiver is requested.
(2) The specific waiver requested.
(3) The relevant facts and reasons the applicant believes will justify the waiver, if they have not already been provided to the department in the application. The applicant should address each of the following:

1. Why applying the rule will result in an undue hardship to the applicant.
2. Why waiving the rule will not prejudice the substantial legal rights of any other person.
3. Whether the provisions of the rule are specifically mandated by statute or another law ether tham the rule.
4. How substantially equal protection of the public health, safety, and welfare will be afforded by means other than those prescribed by the rule.
(4) The names of persons whe may be adversely impacted by the grant of the waiver, if known.
b. In all other cases, a person requesting a waiver shall submit a petition for waiver in accordance with $761-11.5(17 \mathrm{~A})$. The petition shall be submitted to the district engineer.
c. Rescinded IAB 10/12/05, effective 11/16/05.
d. Rescinded IAB 10/12/05, effective 11/16/05.
112.1(4) 112.1(6) Waivers involving interstate highways. The department director of transportation shall not waive these rules in access situations involving the interstate highway system, including its ramps, without the approval of the Federal Highway Administration.
112.1(5) Contact information. Information and forms regarding primary road access control may be obtained from any of the department's six district offices or from the Office of Traffic and Safety, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, Iowa 50010. Forms are available on the department's Internet Web site at the following address: http://www.dot.state.ia.us/forms/index.htm.

ITEM 2. Amend rule 761—115.1(306A) as follows:

## 761-115.1(306A) General information.

115.1(1) No change.
115.1(2) Information and forms. Information and forms regarding this chapter may be obtained from any of the department's six district offices; the Office of Traffic and Safety, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, Iowa 50010; or the Internet Web site: http://www.iowadot.gov/traffic/index.htm.
115.1(2) 115.1(3) Considerations. If the department determines that the literal application of these rules to a specific situation will create or result in an unsafe situation or an unreasonable design, the department shall use sound engineering practices to determine the appropriate design
for the specific situation. The appropriate district office shall include justification for the design in the permit or the highway project file, as applicable. This The appropriate design shall address:

1. a. Safety of motorists, pedestrians, construction workers and other highway users.
Z. b. Integrity of the highway.

## 3. The impact upon the economy of the state.

4. $\underline{\text { c. }}$ Protection of the rights of the traveling public and of property owners, including the rights of abutting property owners.
d. Topography and geometric limitations and constraints affecting typical engineering standards.

Justification for the design must be included in the permit or the highway project file, as applicable.

## 115.1(4) Permit approval process.

a. A district representative may, in response to an application for a utility accommodation on the primary highway system grant approval for a utility permit. The process for inquiring about and applying for a utility accommodation on the primary highway system is through one of the department's six district offices. All applications for utility permits must be applied for in the particular district where the utility accommodation is proposed. A district representative will do one of the following: approve the application for a utility permit, approve the application for a utility permit with conditions, or deny the application for a utility permit. The district representative may use the considerations set forth in subrule 115.1 (3) in making the decision. The district representative shall notify the applicant of the determination in writing.
b. Upon receipt of a denial letter or if the permit was approved with conditions, the applicant may choose to pursue a waiver from the director of transportation, pursuant to subrule 115.1(5).
115.1(3) 115.1(5) Waivers. The director of transportation may, in response to a written request or petition, waive provisions of this chapter. 761 Chapter 11 applies, with the following exceptions: in accordance with 761 -Chapter 11. The written petition must contain the information as required in 761 -subrule 11.5(2) and shall be submitted to the Office of Policy and Legislative Services, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, Iowa 50010.
a. If a person is applying for a utility permit under this chapter, the person shall submit a related waiver request as an addendum to the application, in lieu of petition for waiver. The request must include:
(1) A description of and citation to the specific rule from which a waiver is requested.
(2) The specific waiver requested.
(3) The relevant facts and reasons the appliant believes will justify the waiver, if they have not already been provided to the department in the application. The applicant should address each of the following:

1. Why applying the rule will result in an undue hardship to the applicant.
2. Why waiving the rule will not prejudice the substantial legal rights of any other person.
3. Whether the provisions of the rule are-specifically mandated by statute or another law ether than the rule.
4. How substantially equal protection of the public health, safety, and welfare will be afforded by means other than those prescribed by the rule.
(4) The names of persons whe may be adversely impacted by the grant of the waiver, if known.
b. In all other cases, a person requesting a waiver shall submit a petition for waiver in accordance with $761-11.5(17 \mathrm{~A})$. The petition shall be submitted to the district engineer.
c. Rescinded IAB 10/12/05, effective 11/16/05.
d. Rescinded IAB 10/12/05, effective 11/16/05.
115.1(4) 115.1(6) Additional requirement for waivers involving interstate highways. The department director of transportation shall not waive these rules in utility accommodation and adjustment situations involving the interstate highway system, including its ramps, without the approval of the Federal Highway Administration.
115.1(5) Contact information. Information and forms regarding this chapter may be obtained from any of the department's six district offices or from the Office of Traffic and Safety, Iowa Department of Transportation, 800 Lincoln Way, Ames, Iowa 50010.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

COMMISSION ORDER


DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
Staff will present the revised 2012 and proposed 2013 Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface
Repair Program. A listing of the proposed projects is attached.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission approve the revised 2012 and proposed 2013 Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface Repair Program projects.


PPM-2012-20

Tamara Nicholson, Office of Rail Transportation, said the Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface Repair Program provides assistance to railroads and highway jurisdictions to repair highway-rail grade crossings. This funding is available to railroads, industries that own public crossings, and highway authorities through an annual application program. Applications must be jointly submitted by the railroads and highway authorities to be considered for funding. She noted staff presented a recommendation to the Commission at the October workshop for the 2012 and 2013 surface repair projects:

- 2012 Iowa Grade Crossing Surface Repair Program includes 15 projects totaling $\$ 869,883$. That is as it was presented last year with no changes.
- 2013 Iowa Grade Crossing Surface Repair Program has 15 projects totaling \$845,811.
- A slight revision to the 2012 Federal-Aid Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program for 14 projects totaling \$950,374.
- 2013 Federal-Aid Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program for 17 projects totaling \$942,548.

Ms. Nicholson requested Commission approval of the recommendations as shown in the commission order.

Commissioner Rose moved, Commissioner Miles seconded the Commission approve the revised 2012 and proposed 2013 Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface Repair Program projects. All voted aye.

## 2012 Iowa Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface Repair Program

State Surface

| County | Federal ID | Railroad | Highway jurisdiction |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Johnson | 840173 H | CIC | Coralville |
| Johnson | 909184 T | CIC | Coralville |
| Palo Alto | 875855 R | UP | Palo Alto County |
| Cerro Gordo | 873309 K | IATR | Cerro Gordo County |
| Benton | 376750 A | CIC | Walford |
| Carroll | 377911 W | BNSF | Carroll County |
| Jasper | 607982 F | IAIS | Jasper County |
| Muscatine | 606840 L | IAIS | Muscatine County |
| Muscatine | 606832 U | IAIS | Muscatine County |
| Scott | 606792 Y | IAIS | Scott County |
| Scott | $606804 R$ | IAIS | Walcott |
| Poweshiek | 193121 E | UP | Searsboro |
| O'Brien | 385778 P | DM\&E | Sheldon |
| Johnson | $607519 F$ | CIC | Hills |
| Johnson | $840231 B$ | CIC | Swisher |


| Road location | Percent) |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12th Avenue | 43,894 |
| Seventh Avenue | 41,163 |
| 430th Street | 28,800 |
| State Avenue | 59,100 |
| Third Street | 43,925 |
| Fourth Street | 75,000 |
| 39th Avenue East | 96,000 |
| County Road X-46 | 49,200 |
| North Isett Avenue | 90,000 |
| 110th Avenue | 49,200 |
| Henry Street | 85,200 |
| Fourth Street | 34,800 |
| Eighth Avenue South | 41,149 |
| Main Street | 91,098 |
| Division Street | 41,354 |

The above projects were approved by the Iowa Transportation Commission on 11/09/2010

## 2013 Iowa Highway-Railroad Crossing Surface Repair Program

| County | Federal ID | Railroad | Highway jurisdiction |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Johnson | 840232 H | CIC | Swisher |
| Sioux | 381558 F | DAIR | Hawarden |
| Sioux | 381557 Y | DAIR | Hawarden |
| Palo Alto | 176073 X | COOP | Palo Alto County |
| Palo Alto | 176073 X | UP | Palo Alto County |
| Palo Alto | 875995 T | COOP | Graettinger |
| Palo Alto | 875995 T | UP | Graettinger |
| Scott | 865636 A | DM\&E | Riverdale |
| Black Hawk | 307167 N | CC | Waterloo |
| Black Hawk | 307168 V | CC | Waterloo |
| Black Hawk | 307115 W | CC | Waterloo |
| Black Hawk | 307116 D | CC | Waterloo |
| Black Hawk | 607579 E | IANR | Waterloo |
| Black Hawk | 607580 Y | IANR | Waterloo |
| Black Hawk | 307897 M | CC | Waterloo |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |

State Surface Repair
Fund (60 Percent)
Road location
Second Street
43,710
Ninth Street 32,836
Avenue D 31,655
280th Street 64,200
280th Street 38,400
Robins Avenue 38,400
Robins Avenue 38,400
South Kensington 41,190
Dawson Street 103,080
Columbia Street 93,840
Colorado Street 71,580
Polk Street 103,080
West Eighth Street 31,380
West Seventh Street 45,120
Rainbow Drive 68,940
\$
845,811

## 2012 Federal-Aid Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program Surface Repair Projects

| County | Federal ID \# | Railroad | Highway jurisdiction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clayton | 376148 W | ICE | Guttenberg |
| Linn | 307835 P | CC | Hiawatha |
| Sioux | 381559 M | DAIR | Hawarden |
| O'Brien | 385776 B | DM\&E | Sheldon |
| O'Brien | 385779 W | DM\&E | Sheldon |
| Scott | $606805 X$ | IAIS | Walcott |


| Road location | (60 Percent) <br> Geothe Street |
| :--- | ---: |
| Emmons Street Northeast | 39,382 |
| 10th Street | 35,220 |
| 10th Avenue | 32,836 |
| Third Avenue | 34,927 |
| Main Street | 45,802 |
|  | 90,000 |
| Burton Avenue | 84,720 |
| North 19th Avenue East |  |
| Walnut Street | 71,263 |
| Main Street | 66,600 |
| Fourth Avenue West | 80,224 |
| Second Avenue East | 28,511 |
| 10th Street Southwest |  |
| North Center Street |  |
| Colorado Street | 174,747 |
|  | 46,801 |
| 10th Street | 71,580 |
| East 16th Street | 36,240 |
|  | 100,200 |

## 2013 Federal-Aid Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program Surface Repair Projects

| County | Federal ID \# | Railroad | Highway jurisdiction | Road location | (60 Percent) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Polk | 922305 C | Siegwerk USA, Inc. | Des Moines <br> Dubuque | 376125 P | DM\&E |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION ORDER
Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Rail Transportation Order No. PPM-2012-21
Submitted by Tamara Nicholson Phone No. 515-239-1052 Meeting Date $\quad$ November 8, 2011
Title Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program (2013)
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
Staff will present the proposed 2013 Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program for warning device projects. A listing of the proposed projects is attached.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission approve the 2013 Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program projects.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2012-21

Tamara Nicholson, Office of Rail Transportation, said the Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program provides assistance to railroads and highway jurisdictions to install or upgrade safety improvements at highway-railroad crossings. This funding is available to railroads and highway authorities through an annual application program.
Applications can be submitted by either the railroad or the highway authority to be considered for funding. A recommendation was presented to the Commission at the October workshop for the 2013 safety projects.

Ms. Nicholson said today's recommendation is to approve funding for 28 projects as listed in the commission order and for funding to be available for statewide crossing closures as they occur totaling \$3,768,000 for 2013.

Commissioner Wiley moved, Commissioner Blouin seconded the Commission approve the 2013 Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program projects. Commission Chair Reasner abstained; remaining Commissioners voted aye. Motion passed.

Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Program
2013 Program

| Federal ID \# | Applicant* | Railroad | Highway jurisdiction | Road location | Present warning device | Type of improvement | B/C ratio | Federal funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 385484E | RR/CITY | DME | Mason City | S. Kentucky Avenue | Cantilever signals | Signals w/gate arms | 4.8 | \$134,000 |
| 876097U | RR | UP | Hardin County | County Road D-65 | Signals | Signals w/gate arms | 3.6 | \$141,000 |
| 307576F | CITY | CCP | Cherokee | West Cedar Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 3.5 | \$142,000 |
| 191255W | RR | UP | Monona County | 205th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 3.0 | \$130,000 |
| 190751N | RR | UP | Greene County | B Avenue | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 2.8 | \$149,000 |
| 876234Y | RR | UP | Northwood | Fourth Avenue South | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 2.6 | \$135,000 |
| 186003K | RR | UP | Plymouth County | 150th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 2.1 | \$132,000 |
| 191252B | RR | UP | Monona County | 220th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 2.1 | \$140,000 |
| 191239M | RR | UP | Monona County | 270th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.7 | \$142,000 |
| 191243C | RR | UP | Monona County | 248th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.9 | \$142,000 |
| 197022V | RR | UP | Eagle Grove | Eighth Street NW | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.8 | \$152,000 |
| 876104C | RR | UP | Hardin County | 280th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.8 | \$138,000 |
| 190332R | RR | UP | Boone County | C Avenue | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.7 | \$149,000 |
| 191046N | RR | UP | Harrison County | 198th Avenue | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.6 | \$137,000 |
| 607391C | RR/CITY | IANR | Shell Rock | Cherry Street | Signals | Signals w/gate arms | 1.6 | \$132,000 |
| 190727M | RR | UP | Greene County | Parkland Avenue | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.6 | \$140,000 |
| 190380F | RR | UP | Clinton County | 340th Avenue | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.6 | \$157,000 |
| 063199R | CITY | BNSF | Creston | Elm Street | Signals w/gate arms | Raised medians | 1.6 | \$20,000 |
| 063200H | CITY | BNSF | Creston | New York Avenue | Signals w/gate arms | Raised medians | 1.4 | \$30,000 |
| 385230P | COUNTY | DME | Winneshiek County | Middle Calmar Road | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.4 | \$140,000 |
| 876130S | RR | UP | lowa Falls | River Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.4 | \$165,000 |
| 074073P | RR/CO | BNSF | Clarke County | 205th Avenue | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.3 | \$162,000 |
| 376156N | CITY | DME | Guttenberg | Kosciusko Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.3 | \$132,000 |
| 876220R | RR | UP | Kensett | First Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.3 | \$154,000 |
| 378184 Y | RR/CO | BNSF | Harrison County | 335th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.3 | \$138,000 |
| 602469C | RR | UP | Carlisle | Park Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.3 | \$135,000 |
| 196381H | COUNTY | UP | Cerro Gordo County | 310th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.2 | \$132,000 |
| 876241J | RR | UP | Worth County | 490th Street | Crossbucks | Signals w/gate arms | 1.2 | \$138,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Crossing closures statewide |  | \$30,000 |

TOTAL

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

## Planning, Programming and Modal Division

Division/Bureau/Office Office of Rail Transportation
Order No. PPM-2012-22
Submitted by Tamara Nicholson Phone No. 515-239-1052 Meeting Date November 8, 2011
Title Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program Recommendation
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
Review of the current round of applications for funding from the Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program has been completed. The following recommendations will be presented.

| Project | Loan | Grant |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Butler Cross Dock (Butler County) | $\$ 423,621$ | $\$ 282,000$ |
| 2. Global Foods Rail Spur (Sioux City) | 0 | $\$ 72,000$ |
| 3. Waverly GMT Rail Spur (Waverly) | $\$ 185,676$ | $\$ 204,000$ |
| 4. Burlington Junction Railway Spur <br> Rehabilitation (Burlington) | $\$ 157,948$ | 0 |
| 5. Cherokee Industrial Corporation Rail Spur <br> (Cherokee) | $\$ 617,454$ | 0 |
| 6. CRANDIC Iowa River Crossing <br> Improvement Project (Iowa County) | $\$ 2,000,000$ | 0 |
| 7. Iowa River Railroad Inc. (Marshalltown to <br> Ackley) | $\$ 1,000,000$ | 0 |
| 8. North Central Iowa Rail Corridor, LLC <br> (Forest City to Belmond) | $\$ 400,000$ | 0 |
| 9. Valley Distribution Corporation Rail Spur <br> (West Burlington) | $\$ 218,652$ | 0 |
| Total | $\$ 5,003,351$ | $\$ 558,000$ |

Total recommended funding: \$5,561,351

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission approve the Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program funding recommendations as listed.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2012-22

Tamara Nicholson, Office of Rail Transportation, said the Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program provides assistance to rail facilities that create jobs and spur economic development and to railroads for the preservation and improvement of the rail transportation system. Applications may be submitted at any time during the year and are reviewed as funding is available. A recommendation was presented to the Commission at the October commission workshop for the fall 2011 round of Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program funding. The recommendation is to fund nine rail improvement projects for a total of $\$ 5,561,351$. The rail projects are expected to support the creation of 140 jobs within three years of completion and spur the development of a number of industrial areas across the state. The proposed developments will also leverage millions of dollars of new capital investment across the state. She requested Commission approval of the recommendations as listed.

Commissioner Rose moved, Commissioner Miles seconded the Commission approve the Railroad Revolving Loan and Grant Program funding recommendations as listed in the commission order. Commissioners Reasner and Yanney abstained; remaining Commissioners voted aye. Motion passed.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning $\qquad$ Order No. PPM-2012-23
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date November 8, 2011

Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Webster County (Delegation)

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

Webster County submitted a RISE Immediate Opportunity application requesting a grant to assist in reconstruction of approximately 2,600 feet of Harvest Avenue south of Iowa 7 located west of Fort Dodge. This project is anticipated to be completed by July 2012.

These improvements are necessary to provide improved access to the site of the proposed CJ Cheiljedang Corp. amino acid production facility. This company conforms to the legislative requirements of the RISE program.

The roadway will support:

- The creation of 180 new jobs at this facility.
- $\$ 340$ million in associated capital investment.

The RISE cost per job assisted will be $\$ 6,051.81$, and there will be a total capital investment of \$312.12 for each RISE dollar requested.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment and job creation commitments, award a RISE grant of $\$ 1,089,325$ or up to 80 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the county share of the RISE Fund.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2012-23
Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, reviewed a RISE Immediate Opportunity application from Webster county to reconstruct approximately $2,600 \mathrm{ft}$. of Harvest Avenue south of lowa 7, west of Fort Dodge. The completed improvement will provide improved access to the site of the proposed CJ Cheiljedang Corporation amino acid production facility. This proposed improvement will result in the creation of 180 new jobs within three years along with associated capital investment of more than $\$ 340$ million. Average starting wage of the positions to be created is $\$ 19.92$ which is 117 percent of the Webster county average wage rate of $\$ 16.94$ per hour.

Mr. Markley said the RISE grant recommended is \$1,089,325; local participation is 20 percent or $\$ 272,331$. The project is estimated to cost $\$ 1,361,656$. RISE cost per job assisted is $\$ 6,051.81$, and total capital investment per RISE dollar is $\$ 312.12$. He introduced Bob Singer, Webster County Supervisor.

Mr. Singer introduced Randy Will, Webster County Engineer, and Buck Boekelman, Fort Dodge. Mr. Singer said this project is on a fast track. It is a $\$ 500$ million project and amounts to 280 jobs. The reason it located there primarily is because of the intersection of two major railroads that they will have access to - the Canadian National and Union Pacific. It also has a phenomenal corn supply so consequently when the three plants are running full tilt over 450 trucks per day are anticipated which is going to have a significant impact on the roadways. He noted they have a delegation going to Washington, D.C., this afternoon, applying for a $\$ 7.5$ million TIGER grant.

Mr. Singer said he couldn't over emphasize the importance of and the pleasure they have with four-lane U.S. 20 going west. It is going to play a major role as time goes on in grain transportation. On behalf of Webster county and the city of Fort Dodge, he expressed appreciation for the Commission's consideration of this application and to DOT staff who assisted them.

Mr. Markley reviewed the recommendation of staff.
Commissioner Cleaveland moved, Commissioner Yanney seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment and job creation commitments, award a RISE grant of $\$ 1,089,325$ or up to 80 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the county share of the RISE fund. All voted aye.

# RISE Immediate Opportunity Funding Economic Analysis Summary 

## GENERAL INFORMATION

Applicant: Webster County
Project Location and Description: Reconstruction of approximately 2,600 feet of Harvest Avenue south of lowa 7 located west of Fort Dodge.

Associated Economic Development: The project provides improved access to the site of the proposed CJ Cheiljedang Corp. amino acid production facility. The project will result in the creation of 180 new jobs and a capital investment of $\$ 340$ million.

## ECONOMIIC IMPACT <br> Total Roadway Project Cost: \$1,361,656

RISE Funds Requested: \$1,089,325; Grant; \$1,089,325; Loan \$----
Effective Project Cost to RISE Program: \$1,089,325
Local Participation: \$272,331; Sources: Webster County
Non-RISE Total Capital Investment: \$340,000,000
(Public: \$40,000,000; Private: $\$ 300,000,000$ )
Direct Jobs Created: 180; (Other, Potential Future Jobs: 0)
Direct Jobs Retained: 0; Total Direct Jobs Assisted, Short-Term: 180
Number of Existing Jobs: 0
Project Average Wage Rate of New Jobs: \$19.92/hr.
100\% Webster County Average Wage Rate: \$16.94/hr.

## KEY RATIOS

Local Match Ratio: 20\% (\$272,331/\$1,361,656)
RISE Cost Per Job Assisted (Created): \$1,089,325/180 = \$6,051.81
Total Capital Investment Per RISE Dollar: \$340,000,000/\$1,089,325=\$312.12

## CONCLUSIONS

Narrative: Based on the capital investment and job creation commitments, staff recommends a grant of $\$ 1,089,325$ or up to 80 percent of total RISE-eligible project costs, whichever is less, from the county share of the RISE Fund.


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning Order No. PPM-2012-24
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date November 8, 2011
Title Revitalize Iowa’s Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Le Mars (Delegation)

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of Le Mars submitted a RISE Local Development application in the September 2011 round requesting a grant to assist in construction of approximately 650 feet of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street Southwest and 500 feet of Eighth Avenue Southwest from $12^{\text {th }}$ Street South located on the southwest side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to 12 lots totaling almost 19 acres for light industrial purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by July 2012.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 187,500$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2012-24
Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, presented a RISE Local Development application from the city of Le Mars to construct approximately 650 ft . of $11^{\text {th }}$ Street Southwest and 500 ft . of $8^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Southwest from $12^{\text {th }}$ Street South located on the southwest side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to 12 lots totaling almost 19 acres for light industrial purposes. Under RISE Local Development criteria, the project received a rating of 39 points. The total estimated cost of the project is $\$ 375,000$. The city requests a RISE grant of $\$ 187,500$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He noted a delegation from Le Mars is participating by teleconference.

Jason Vacura, Code Enforcement Supervisor, said on the phone are Mayor Dick Kirchoff and Steve Schuster, CEO, Schuster Trucking Company, developer of this property. Mr. Vacura expressed appreciation to the Commission for considering their grant application today, and he acknowledged the Commission's support on past RISE projects. It has been a very beneficial working relationship that has helped both their local Le Mars business economy as well as lowa's economy.

Commission Chair Reasner noted the Commission held a workshop earlier today and reviewed this project with staff. We appreciate your participating by phone.

Mr. Markley reviewed staff's recommendation.
Commissioner Rose moved, Commissioner Miles seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 187,500$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE fund. All voted aye.

## RISE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FUNDING <br> September 2011 <br> SUMMARY

Applicant: City of Le Mars
Multiyear?: No Multijurisdiction?: No

ROAD PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION: To construct approximately 650 feet of 11 th Street Southwest and 500 feet of Eighth Avenue Southwest from 12th Street South located on the southwest side of town.

ASSOCIATED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: This project will provide improved access to 12 lots totaling almost 19 acres for light industrial purposes.
PROJECT FINANCING:
PROJECT EVALUATION:
Development Potential (35): ..... 10
Economic Impact and Cost Effect. (20): ..... 8
Local Commit. and Initiative (35): ..... 15
Transportation Need (4): ..... 3
Local Economic Need (6): ..... 3
Total Rating: ..... 39
Jobs: ..... 30

| Roadway Project Cost: |  | $\$ 375,000$ | Local Match (Total): | $\$ 187,500$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RISE (Total): |  | $\$ 187,500$ | Up-Front: | $\$ 187,500$ |
| Grant: | $\$ 187,500$ | NPV of Loan Repayment: | 0 |  |
| Loan: | 0 | Effective Match Percent: |  |  |
| Loan Terms: | Yrs. | 0 |  |  |
|  | Int.: | $0 \%$ | Up-front Participation Sources: |  |
|  |  |  | Private: |  |
|  |  |  | Public: | $\$ 187,500$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Award a grant of $\$ 187,500$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning Order No. PPM-2012-25
Submitted by Craig Markley $\quad$ Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date November 8, 2011
Title $\quad$ Revitalize Iowa’s Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Sheldon (Delegation)

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of Sheldon submitted a RISE Local Development application in the September 2011 round requesting a grant to assist in construction of approximately 1,521 feet of Crossroads Drive east of Iowa 60 and south of U.S. 18 into the Crossroads Industrial Park located on the east side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to nine lots totaling more than 34 acres for heavy industrial purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by June 2012.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 227,594$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.
COMMISSION ACTION:

PPM-2012-25
Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, presented a RISE Local Development application from the city of Sheldon to construct approximately 1,521 feet of Crossroads Drive east of Iowa 60 and south of U.S. 18 into the Crossroads Industrial Park located on the east side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to nine lots totaling more than 34 acres for heavy industrial purposes. Under RISE Local Development criteria the project received a rating of 42 points. The total estimated project cost is $\$ 455,188$, and the city is requesting a RISE grant of $\$ 227,594$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He introduced Scott Wynja, Sheldon City Manager.

Mr. Wynja introduced Dave Popkes, City Council Member, and Jeff Schug, McClure Engineering. Mr. Wynja said thus far they have invested approximately $\$ 1.8$ million in development of the parcel. When completed, they will have spent approximately $\$ 2.8$ million to make this site ready. The infrastructure for water and sewer is being installed now which will include a new industrial lift station. In 2004 as part of the 2000 lowa 60 expressway project, they installed casings under the highway basically to prepare for this development adjacent to lowa 60. At that time that investment was approximately $\$ 100,000$. If doing that work today, it would probably cost about triple what it was then. They are working with various utility providers to get electricity, natural gas, etc., into that area. They are also working with the lowa Department of Economic Development (DED) and could possibly get some funding assistance from DED's shovel-ready program.

Mr. Wynja said the Crossroads Industrial Park is adjacent to four lane lowa 60, U.S. 18, and a railroad which makes this prime industrial development ground. They are starting an active marketing campaign, and he noted their economic development director is in Chicago this week working with site selectors to specifically identify and develop this piece of property. He expressed appreciation for the Commission's consideration of this application and said they look forward to their continuing relationship with the lowa DOT and creating jobs for the state of lowa.

Mr. Markley reviewed the recommendation of staff.
Commissioner Wiley moved, Commissioner Yanney seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 227,594$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE fund. All voted aye.

## RISE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FUNDING <br> September 2011 <br> SUMMARY

Applicant City of Sheldon Multiyear?: No
Multijurisdiction?: No
ROAD PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION To construct approximately 1,521 feet ofCrossroads Drive east of lowa 60 and south of U.S. 18 into the Crossroads IndustrialPark located on the east side of town.
ASSOCIATED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: This project will provide access to ninelots totaling more than 34 acres for heavy industrial purposes.
PROJECT FINANCING

| Roadway Project Cost: |  | $\$ 455,188$ | Local Match (Total): | $\$ 227,594$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RISE (Total): |  | $\$ 227,594$ | Up-Front: | $\$ 227,594$ |
| Grant: | $\$ 227,594$ | NPV of Loan Repayment: | 0 |  |
| Loan: | 0 | Effective Match Percent: | 50 |  |
| Loan Terms: | Yrs. | 0 | Up-front Participation Sources: |  |
|  | Int: | $0 \%$ | Private: |  |
|  |  |  | Public: | $\$ 227,594$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Local Match (Total): | $\$ 227,594$ |

PROJECT EVALUATION:
Development Potential (35): ..... 10
Economic Impact and Cost Effect. (20): ..... 10
Local Commit. and Initiative (35): ..... 15
Transportation Need (4): ..... 3
Local Economic Need (6): ..... 4
Total Rating: ..... 42
Jobs: ..... 25

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Award a grant of $\$ 227,594$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.


March 24, 2011

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning Order No. PPM-2012-26
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date November 8, 2011
Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Parkersburg (Delegation)
DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:
The city of Parkersburg submitted a RISE Local Development application in the September 2011 round requesting a grant to assist in construction of an approximately 740 -foot extension of Commerce Avenue located on the southeast side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to five lots totaling almost 11 acres for professional office and light industrial purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by October 2012.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 201,969$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.


Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, reviewed a RISE Local Development application from the city of Parkersburg to construct an approximate $740-\mathrm{ft}$. extension of Commerce Avenue located on the southeast side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to five lots totaling almost 11 acres for professional office and light industrial purposes. Under RISE Local Development criteria, the project received a rating of 36 points. The total estimated project cost is $\$ 403,938$. The city is requesting a RISE grant of $\$ 201,969$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He introduced Janice Johnson, Director of Economic Development.

Ms. Johnson introduced Chris Luhring, Parkersburg City Manager, and Kevin Blanshan, INRCOG. She said the RISE project will extend the street in their industrial park. Parkersburg received a RISE grant in 2003 and at the present time they have one lot left in that first phase. A tornado on May 25, 2008, slowed down their progress but they have been blessed with a lot of new business in their industrial park since that time. Most of it is professional offices including a dentist, U.S. Cellular, and a therapy clinic; and currently they have two new businesses going in. Due to the fact they have just one lot left, they thought it was important to move ahead and extend the street. The city, of course, would be responsible for utilities.

Mr. Markley reviewed staff's recommendation.
Commissioner Yanney moved, Commission Miles seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 201,969$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE fund. All voted aye.

## RISE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FUNDING

September 2011
SUMMARY


PROJECT EVALUATION:
Development Potential (35): 10
Economic Impact and Cost Effect. (20): 2
Local Commit. and Initiative (35): 17
Transportation Need (4): 3
Local Economic Need (6): 4

Total Rating: 36

Jobs: 0

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Award a grant of $\$ 201,969$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning Order No. PPM-2012-27
Submitted by Craig Markley Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date November 8, 2011
Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Waterloo (Delegation)

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of Waterloo submitted a RISE Local Development application in the September 2011 round requesting a grant to assist in construction of an approximately 903 -foot extension of Geraldine Road located on the northwest side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to six lots totaling more than 11 acres for industrial and manufacturing purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by October 2012.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 224,449$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.


PPM-2012-27
Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, reviewed a RISE Local Development application from the city of Waterloo to construct an approximate $903-\mathrm{ft}$. extension of Geraldine Road located on the northwest side of town. This project is necessary to provide access to six lots totaling more than 11 acres for industrial and manufacturing purposes. Under RISE Local Development criteria, this project received a rating of 36 points. The total estimated project cost is $\$ 448,898$. The city is requesting a RISE grant of $\$ 224,449$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He introduced Noel Anderson, Community Planning and Development Director.

Mr. Anderson introduced Tim Andera, Waterloo, and Kevin Blanshan, INRCOG. Mr. Anderson expressed appreciation for past partnerships including the ConAgra pudding plant expansion and an extension of Mid-Port Boulevard which helped facilitate a project for a trucking company locating in that area. On this immediate site they have a forge facility which is a 15,000 sq. ft. building and they are looking at doubling that in size. They have seen over 200 jobs and over $\$ 42$ million in just that immediate area because of RISE funding. This area is a city of Waterloo TIF district. It is an industrial area, and they are seeing more interest in this area. This extension will help them provide the size of lots that industry is interested in. They feel the lots will fill up quickly as they are right on U.S. 218, an ideal location for continued development in the city of Waterloo.

Mr. Markley reviewed staff's recommendation.
Commissioner Cleaveland moved, Commissioner Rose seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 224,449$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE fund. All voted aye.

## RISE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FUNDING <br> September 2011 <br> SUMMARY

| Applicant: $\quad$ City of Waterloo | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Multiyear?: No } \\ \\ \\ \text { ROAD PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION: } \\ \text { Geraldine Road located on the northwest side of town. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: |

ASSOCIATED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: This project will provide access to six lots totaling more than 11 acres for industrial and manufacturing purposes.
PROJECT FINANCING:

| Roadway Project Cost: |  | $\$ 448,898$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| RISE (Total): |  | $\$ 224,449$ |
| Grant: |  | $\$ 224,449$ |
| Loan: |  | 0 |
| Loan Terms: | Yrs. | 0 |
|  | Int.: | $0 \%$ |

PROJECT EVALUATION:
Development Potential (35): ..... 10
Economic Impact and Cost Effect. (20): ..... 2
Local Commit. and Initiative (35): ..... 17
Transportation Need (4): ..... 3
Local Economic Need (6): ..... 4
Total Rating: ..... 36
Jobs: ..... 0

| Local Match (Total): | $\$ 224,449$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Up-Front: | $\$ 224,449$ |
| NPV of Loan Repayment: | 0 |
| Effective Match Percent: | 50 |
|  |  |
| Up-front Participation Sources: |  |
| Private: | $\$ 224,449$ |
| Public: | $\$ 224,449$ |

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Award a grant of $\$ 224,449$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.
Waterloo


Attachment E


## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> COMMISSION ORDER

Planning, Programming and Modal Division
Division/Bureau/Office Office of Systems Planning Order No. PPM-2012-28
Submitted by Craig Markley
Phone No. 515-239-1027 Meeting Date November 8, 2011
Title Revitalize Iowa's Sound Economy (RISE) Application - Dubuque (Delegation)

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The city of Dubuque submitted a RISE Local Development application in the September 2011 round requesting a grant to assist in reconstruction of approximately 2,400 feet of East Seventh Street east from U.S. 52/Central Avenue located near the downtown area. This project is necessary to provide improved access to almost eight acres for industrial purposes. This project is anticipated to be completed by November 2012.

The evaluation and rating for the project will be discussed.

## PROPOSAL/ACTION RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 680,892$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE Fund.
COMMISSION ACTION:

Craig Markley, Office of Systems Planning, presented a RISE Local Development application from the city of Dubuque to reconstruct approximately 2,400 feet of East Seventh Street east from U.S. 52/Central Avenue located in the downtown area. This project is necessary to provide improved access to almost eight acres for industrial purposes. Under RISE Local Development criteria, the project received a rating of 44 points. The total estimated project cost is $\$ 1,361,783$. The city is requesting a RISE grant of $\$ 680,892$ and will provide a 50 percent local match. He introduced David Heiar, Economic Development Director.

On behalf of Mayor Buol and the Dubuque City Council, Mr. Heiar expressed appreciation for the many times the DOT has partnered with the city of Dubuque on projects; those investments have really paid dividends for the state of lowa. If fact, over the past couple years, 15 percent of the state's job growth has actually occurred in Dubuque even though the city only represents about three percent of the state's population. They appreciate the Commission's consideration of their application and with the Commission's continued partnership they hope to continue their role as a leader in helping the state create new jobs.

Mr. Markley reviewed the recommendation of staff.
Commissioner Wiley moved, Commissioner Rose seconded the Commission, based on the capital investment commitment and potential for future job creation, award a RISE grant of $\$ 680,892$ or up to 50 percent of the total RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less, from the city share of the RISE fund. All voted aye.

Meeting adjourned at 2:22 p.m.

## RISE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FUNDING

## September 2011

 SUMMARY| Applicant: $\quad$ City of Dubuque | Multiyear?: No <br> Multijurisdiction?: No |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| ROAD PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION: | To reconstruct approximately 2,400 feet of |
| East Seventh Street east from U.S. 52/Central Avenue located near the downtown area. |  |

## ASSOCIATED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: This project will provide improved access

 to almost eight acres for industrial purposes.PROJECT FINANCING:
PROJECT EVALUATION:
Development Potential (35): ..... 15
Economic Impact and Cost Effect. (20): ..... 3
Local Commit. and Initiative (35): ..... 20
Transportation Need (4): ..... 2
Local Economic Need (6): ..... 4
Total Rating: ..... 44
Jobs: ..... 0

| Roadway Project Cost: |  | $\$ 1,361,783$ | Local Match (Total): | $\$ 680,891$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RISE (Total): |  | $\$ 680,892$ | Up-Front: | $\$ 680,891$ |
| Grant: | $\$ 680,892$ | NPV of Loan Repayment: | 0 |  |
| Loan: | 0 | Effective Match Percent: | 50 |  |
| Loan Terms: | Yrs. | 0 |  |  |
|  | Int.: | $0 \%$ | Up-front Participation Sources: |  |
|  |  |  | Private: | $\$ 680,891$ |
|  |  |  | Public: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Award a grant of $\$ 680,892$ or up to 50 percent of the RISE-eligible project cost, whichever is less. Funding will come from the city share of the RISE Fund.
Dubuque


